


*Four County Community
Foundation*
Grant Application Review

Four County Community Foundation gives priority consideration to grant applications with the following characteristics:

- projects not adequately served by existing community resources
- projects which provide incentive for generating other funds and community resources
- projects which facilitate cooperation and collaboration between organizations
- projects which have direct impacts on our 4CCF service area



<u>Highly Satisfactory</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Not Available</u>
<i>High-Priority Community Need with Collaboration (0-20 Points)</i>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very complete description of community need • Thoroughly described collaboration with other partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively complete description of community need • Some description of collaboration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited information on community need • Limited collaboration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal/No description of community need • Limited or no collaboration
<i>Organizational Capacity (0-15 Points)</i>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very complete answers regarding staffing, time and feasibility • High priority for applicant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relatively complete answers regarding staffing, time and feasibility • Medium priority for applicant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited answers regarding staffing, time and feasibility • Low priority for applicant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal/No answers regarding staffing, time and feasibility • No apparent sense of priority
<i>Effectiveness of Proposed Solution (0-10 Points)</i>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presents effective solution to issue • Clearly defined measures of success 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describes relatively effective solution • Some measures of success 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describes potential but untested solution • Limited discussion of measures of success 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal/No discussion of potential solution • Minimal/No discussion of measures of success
<i>Quality of Application (0-5 Points)</i>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes all required sections • Describes intriguing project concept • Seeking funds elsewhere 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes most required sections • Satisfactory project concept • Other funding may be sought 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes most required sections • Acceptable project concept • Does not mention seeking other funding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not complete all required sections • Minimal fit to Foundation goals • No mention of other funding

The following scorecard will be used to review each application. Write your score for each category and the total. **Example below.**

Category	Highly Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Acceptable	Not Accept. / Not avail.	Potential Points	Your Scoring
High Priority Com. Need	15-20	10-14	5-9	0-4	0-20 18	18
Organizational Capacity	13-15	9-12 10	4-8	0-3	0-15	10
Effectiveness of Proposed Solution	9-10	6-8	3-5 5	0-2	0-10	5
Quality of Application	4-5 5	3	2	1	0 - 5	5
Total Score					0 -50	38

Reviewer: _____ **Grant Application Title:** _____ **Date:** _____

Category	Highly Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Acceptable	Not Acceptable / Not available	Potential Points	Your Scoring
High Priority Community Need	15-20	10-14	5-9	0-4	0-20	
Organizational Capacity	13-15	9-12	4-8	0-3	0-15	
Effectiveness of Proposed Solution	9-10	6-8	3-5	0-2	0-10	
Quality of Application	4-5	3	2	1	0 - 5	
Total Score					0 -50	

Notes:
